

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ROSCOE CHAMBERS,  
#13495-030,

Plaintiff,

v.

WARDEN DAVID J. EBBERT,  
et al.,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:18-cv-01009

(MARIANI, J.)

(SAPORITO, M.J.)

FILED  
WILKES BARRE  
DEC 21 2018

Per MS

**ORDER**

AND NOW, this 21<sup>st</sup> day of December, 2018, in accordance with the accompanying Memorandum, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

1. The plaintiff's *pro se* "Motion to Object to Magistrate Judge Saporito Order Doc # 42," (Doc. 46) is **DENIED** to the extent it may be liberally construed as motion for reconsideration;

2. The plaintiff's *pro se* "Motion to Object to Magistrate Judge Saporito Order Doc # 42," (Doc. 46) is **DENIED** to the extent it may be liberally construed as a motion for recusal; and

3. The Clerk is directed to re-docket the plaintiff's *pro se* "Motion to Object to Magistrate Judge Saporito Order Doc # 42," (Doc. 46) also as

an Appeal of Magistrate Judge Decision to District Court with respect to our Order denying the plaintiff's *pro se* motion for entry of default (Doc. 42).

  
JOSEPH F. SAPORITO, JR.  
United States Magistrate Judge